IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

WRIT PETITION NO : 19161 of 1995

Between:

1. Darsanala Sambanna, S/o. Nana, aged about 42 years,

Occ: Agriculture, Resident of Savargaon (T) Village,

Adilabad Mandal, Adilabad District.

2. Syed Mohd. Shah, son of Syed Mohd. Pasha, Occ: Agriculture,

Resident of Savargaon (T) Village, Adilabad Mandal,

Adilabad District.

3. Rasheeda Begum, wife of Mohd. Abdullah Khan, Occ: Agriculture

& Housewife, Resident of Savargaon (T) Village, Adilabad Mandal, Adilabad District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The District Collector, Office of Collectorate,

Adilabad.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Office of

Collectorate, Adilabad.

3. The Mandal Revenue officer,

Adilabad Mandal, Adilabad District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the Respondents in seeking to divert the rights of the petitioners in pursuant to the Procs.No.C/2229/90 dated 23.05.1994 pertaining t their lands bearing Survey Nos. 72/11, to an extent of Ac.8.00 gts., 72/4, to an extent of Act.5.00 gts., 72/23, to an extent of Ac22.00 gts., situated at Savargaon (T) Village, Adilabad Mandal, Adilabad District without recourse to the Law or Land Acquisition Act and Special Loan Rules as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and without jurisdiction and further consequently issue directions restraining the respondents ;herein from interfering with the peaceful possession of the petitioners over the said lands.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.VINOD KUMAR DESHPANDE

Counsel for the Respondents. : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

WRIT PETITION NO : 19161 of 1995

ORDER:

When this writ petition is taken up for hearing, the learned Counsel for the petitioners represented that the cause in this writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the above submission, this writ petition is dismissed as having

become infructuous. No costs.

_____

Justice T.Meena Kumari

Dated: 24th March, 2005

Nn.

Copy to:

1. The District Collector, Office of Collectorate,

   Adilabad.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

   Office of Collectorate, Adilabad.

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Adilabad Mandal,

   Adilabad District.

4. Two C.Cs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings,High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

5. Two C.D. Copies.